

January 31, 2025

Jonathan Rice, Chief Industrial and General Permits Division Maryland Department of the Environment 1800 Washington Boulevard Baltimore, Maryland 21230

RE: Monthly Progress Report – January 2025
Perdue AgriBusiness LLC
Al#2087, State Permit No. 15-DP-0359, NPDES Permit No. MD0000060
6906 Zion Church Road, Salisbury, Maryland 21804
Langan Project No.: 220210101

Dear Mr. Rice:

Langan Engineering and Environmental Services, LLC (Langan) has prepared this progress report for January 2025 on behalf of Perdue AgriBusiness LLC (PAB) regarding the Zion Church Road (ZCR) facility located at 6906 Zion Church Road in Salisbury, Maryland (the "Facility"). This report was prepared in response to the *Request for Action to Address PFAS in Wastewater* letter issued to PAB in November 2024, by the Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE), Water and Science Administration, Wastewater Pollution Prevention and Reclamation Program (the "Department").

The Department's November 8 letter contained the following two substantive requests:

- 1. **Monitoring and Reporting:** As soon as possible, but no later than 15 days from the date of this letter, collect your first monthly sample at Outfall 001 for PFAS and submit it for testing using EPA Method 1633. Samples shall be collected every month until further notice. Sample results shall be provided to the Department via email to jonathan.rice@maryland.gov no later than 7 days after you receive each lab report.
- 2. **Source Identification:** As soon as possible, but no later than 5 days from the date of this letter, begin a comprehensive assessment of the Facility's processes, materials, and any third-party waste streams to identify sources of PFAS that may enter the Facility's discharges, stormwater runoff, or sludge. Progress reports regarding the evaluation, including any preliminary results or final findings, shall be submitted to the Department on a monthly basis. Monthly reports shall be provided to the Department via email to jonathan.rice@maryland.gov by the final date of each month, with the first report due on November 30, 2024. Based on the findings, a mitigation plan may be necessary to propose a strategy to reduce or to the extent practicable eliminate PFAS-containing materials entering the Facility's wastewater, stormwater runoff, or sludge.

This is the third report submitted in accordance with the Department's request for monthly progress reports to be submitted by the final date of each month, starting on or before November 30, 2024. This monthly progress report provides a summary of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) monitoring and reporting activities (Section "A" below), a summary of PFAS source assessment activities (Section "B" below), and plans and recommendations for groundwater monitoring (Section "C" below).

A. Monitoring and Reporting

Langan performed a second monthly sampling event on December 17, 2024. The sample (ID WWTP_OUTFALL001_GDPTOC_C1_121724) was collected as a composite-grab alongside a duplicate (ID: WWTP_OUTFALL001_GDPTOC_C2_121724) and a field blank. The results of this sampling event were provided to the Department on January 15, 2025. The total PFAS concentrations for the two composite-grab samples were 122 ppt and 129 ppt, respectively.

A third monthly sampling event occurred on January 15, 2025. Sample analysis has a standard turnaround time of 10 business days, and Langan will validate the results with an anticipated turnaround time of 5 business days. At the time of this writing, validated results of this third sampling event were not yet available. Sampling events will continue to occur monthly. Results will be provided to the Department within 7 days of completing data validation and management and will also be attached to the Facility's monthly DMR.

Langan may recommend replacing 'composite-grab' sampling with grab sampling, if appropriate (e.g., the source(s) of PFAS in the wastewater is/are determined to be continuous/non-transient, PFAS concentrations are stable or exhibiting steady trends based on monthly monitoring results, etc.).

B. Source Identification

Subsequent to the last monthly progress report and at the request of MDE's Controlled Hazardous Substance Enforcement Divisions ("CHS"), Langan performed an Environmental Assessment of PFAS, on behalf of PAB, to identify potential sources of PFAS in soil and groundwater at the Facility (referred to in the report as "Areas of Interest").

The assessment methods and findings are further summarized in a report titled *Environmental Assessment of PFAS, Perdue AgriBusiness LLC, Salisbury, Maryland*, which was submitted to MDE's CHS Division on January 21, 2025.

As indicated in the last monthly NPDES Progress Report and further discussed in the *Environmental Assessment of PFAS* Report, to date, PAB has not identified any PFAS-containing products or chemicals used by PAB in any of its operations at the Facility. At this time, the only known PFAS-containing product or chemical at the Facility is aqueous film-forming foam (AFFF), which is stored for use in the fire suppression system at the Soybean Extraction Plant. The fire suppression system currently contains Ansulite AFC-3B.¹ In the event

¹ Ansulite AFC-3B does not contain any of the six PFAS compounds for which EPA has established drinking water standards.



of an AFFF discharge inside the Soybean Oil Extraction Plant, AFFF is directed to the Extraction Plant Containment Sump, which leads to the facility's Wastewater Treatment Plant. PAB is evaluating other potential fire suppression systems that would not contain PFAS.

The last reported release of AFFF at the Facility was around November 2019.² At that time, the AFFF in the fire suppression system was Ansulite AFC–3A. As noted above, AFFF discharged inside the Soybean Oil Extraction Plant would have been directed to the Extraction Plant Containment Sump, which leads to the facility's Wastewater Treatment Plant via underground process sewers. However, AFFF discharged via spray nozzles positioned above the Hexane Tank Enclosure and Extraction Plant Containment Sump could have fallen on the ground surrounding those structures.

The AFFF Fire Suppression System is one of nine identified PFAS AOIs. The other eight PFAS AOIs are potential secondary AOIs that were, or may have been, affected by historic discharges of AFFF. Langan and PAB are in the process of gathering additional information regarding the AFFF Fire Suppression System and associated/nearby process water infrastructure. Historical AFFF use and fire suppression system operations are also being assessed.

Initial steps have been taken to assess a comingled process sewer manhole, located near the AFFF Fire Suppression System, which MDE sampled in December 2023. Assessment is ongoing, and findings will be summarized in a subsequent MDE deliverable.

As part of PAB's ongoing hazardous substance response site assessment, Langan is preparing a workplan for initial soil and groundwater sampling in several of the identified PFAS AOIs, including the area surrounding the AFFF Fire Suppression System. It is now anticipated that this workplan will be submitted to MDE for review in February 2025. Sampling conducted as part of the workplan will also be used to support PAB's identification of potential sources of PFAS in Facility wastewater.

Groundwater is used in the Facility's operations and therefore could be a source of PFAS in Facility wastewater. Accordingly, PAB has implemented systems for treating boiler room water, oil refinery process water, and oil refinery truck wash water.

C. Groundwater Monitoring

In July 2024, six temporary, multi-level PFAS groundwater monitoring wells were installed on the western portion of the PAB property and sampled for PFAS, under a plan that was approved by MDE in May 2024. The results were submitted to MDE in a report dated September 2024. Those wells were resampled in December 2024 as part of the quarterly monitoring schedule contemplated in the MDE-approved plan. A groundwater monitoring report is being prepared for submittal to MDE per the approved monitoring plan.

As discussed above, PAB has asked Langan to prepare a workplan for initial soil and groundwater sampling at the Facility as part of its ongoing hazardous substance response site assessment. That investigation—in addition to data obtained from the ongoing sampling of private drinking

² The date has been corrected/updated from previous progress reports, which indicated that the last known release of AFFF was in approximately 2018.



water supply wells—will inform the development of an integrated groundwater monitoring program that accounts for PAB's evolving understanding of PFAS distribution in groundwater. This integrated groundwater monitoring program will involve a more diverse network of monitoring wells representing key locations and depths and will substantively replace the ongoing groundwater monitoring previously approved by MDE. As discussed with MDE, PAB anticipates that the next quarterly sampling called for by the groundwater monitoring plan approved by MDE in May 2024 will be supplanted by the sampling workplan in the revised monitoring plan, also to be approved by MDE, and thus PAB hereby requests that the existing quarterly sampling protocol be discontinued in favor of the forthcoming workplan.

D. Closing

As stated above, NPDES PFAS sampling will continue to occur monthly as required in the Department's November 8 letter. Scheduling for source assessment and sampling continues to be developed, and quarterly groundwater monitoring will continue per the May 2024 groundwater monitoring plan until MDE approves otherwise.

Sincerely,

Langan Engineering and Environmental Services, LLC

Jillian Terhune

Senior Project Manager

Juinahm

cc: Jaclyn Mays, PAB Herb Frerichs, PAB